

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 02/15/2000 Masahiro Kume 0819-337 8307 09/504,782 7590 05/22/2002 22204 NIXON PEABODY, LLP **EXAMINER** 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R **SUITE 800** MCLEAN, VA 22102 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2828

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/504,782	KUME ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Delma R. Flores Ruiz	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2000</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Pauls
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ç	Paul IP Supervisory patent examiner
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1 – 16 and 31 - 35, drawn to semiconductor laser device, classified in class 372, subclass 43.

Group I, claims 1-6, and 31-35, drawn to semiconductor laser device wherein a spontaneous-emission absorbing layer.

Group II, claims 13 – 14, drawn to semiconductor laser wherein the recess.

Group III, claims 7 – 12, drawn to semiconductor laser device wherein a spontaneous emission protective film.

Group IV, claims 15 – 16, drawn to semiconductor laser device wherein a spontaneous emission protective member.

II. Claims 17 - 23, drawn to optical disk apparatus, classified in class 369, subclass 275.1⁺.

Group V, claims 17 – 18, drawn to optical disk apparatus wherein a spontaneous-emission absorbing layer.

Group VI, claims 19 – 21, drawn to optical disk apparatus wherein a spontaneous emission protective film.

Art Unit: 2828

Group VII, claim 22, drawn to optical disk apparatus wherein the recess.

Group VIII, claims 23, drawn to optical disk apparatus wherein a spontaneous emission protective member.

III. Claims 24 - 30 drawn to an optical integrated unit, classified in class 372, subclass 50.

Group IX, claims 24 –25, drawn to optical integrated unit wherein a spontaneousemission absorbing layer.

Group X, claims 26 – 28, drawn to an optical integrated unit, wherein a spontaneous emission protective film.

Group XI, claims 29, drawn to an optical integrated unit, wherein the recess.

Group XII, claim 30, drawn to an optical integrated unit, wherein spontaneous a emission protective member.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III, II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable

together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2828

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups II and III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III and II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Group I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, and XII as shown, discloses different scoop of the invention and therefore: Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, election of species for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6238. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Delma R. Flores Ruiz

Examiner Art Unit 2828 Supervisor Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2828

DRFR/PI

May 19, 2002